(check 🗹 only one box for each que	estion) ERAL PROCESSING TTS Environmental Compliance				
INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)				
AIRS ID#: 0250258 DATE: 7/27/2011 ARRIVE: 11:38 AM DEPART: 12:30 PM FACILITY NAME: WHITE ROCK QUARRIES-MAIN QUARRY					
FACILITY LOCATION: 18300 NW 122ND AVE HIALEAH 33018	-				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JIM F Email: CONTACT NAME: RONNIE VAN LANDINGHAM Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 7/2/2011 / 7/2/2016 (effective date) (end date)	HURLEY PHONE: (561)793-2102 Mobile: PHONE: (605)822-5322 Mobile:				

Facility Section

PART I: INSPECTION COM	IPLIANCE <u>STATUS</u> (check ∅ onl	y one box)	
IN COMPLIANCE	MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE	SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE	

	ART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>RONNIE VAN LANDINGHAM</u>	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
	Brief Notes:		
2.	Is the Authorized Representative still JIM HURLEY?	🛛 Yes	No
3.	If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? Is the facility contact still RONNIE VAN LANDINGHAM?	☐ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No
4.	Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	Yes Yes	⊠No □No

Emissions Unit Section <u>1 –NMMP Plant-crushers subject to NSPS Subpart OOO</u>

		(check 🗹	only one
		box for each	question)
Is	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processi		1 /
	 (Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorit is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Grani Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chla and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.] Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant 	ty te, ! Gravel; Salt; ride, . Kernite,	
	or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	🛛 Yes	No
	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?		No
	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?		No
	Is the EU one of the following?	⊠ Yes	No
	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to abpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
	the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process		
	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	Yes	🖾No
6.	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a	□ •-	
7	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	No
/.	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	Xes	No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or		
	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour) ?	Yes	No
1			

_				
9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyer in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first anyther			
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	\square	Yes	□No
	<i>{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or</i>		105	
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operate	d		
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia.			
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi			
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wett			
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}	cu		
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line			
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,			
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	\square	Yes	No
	6			
	<i>{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract</i>			
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic			
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface			
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material			
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by			
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}			
If	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to			
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.			
If	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.			
1.1				
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?			
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?		Yes	No
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20			
13	. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures,		N 7	
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		Yes	No
If	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19			
,				
14	. Initial Tests:			
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of			
	initial startup of the EU? 🔲 N/A		Yes	No No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?		Yes	No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?		Yes	L.No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?		Yes	No
15	. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not			
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:			
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? N/A		Ves	
	initial startup of the EU? I N/A {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the		Yes	∐ No
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}			
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?		Yes	No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	_	Yes	\square No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?		Yes	\square No
1	a. There induce consistents from non-vent bundling openings less than of equal to 7% opacity -		100	

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator: Conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; Uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturin as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	_	
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,		
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	Yes	🗌 No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the		
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's	_	_
instructions?	Yes	L.No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
and		
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the	e	
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ?	Yes	LNo
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		
		_
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes:		
a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to		
the discharge spray nozzles?		
b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete		
corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?		
c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,		
recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	Yes	No
		_
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following		
questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures,		
Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	□No
	—	—
21. Initial Tests:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU? N/A	Yes	No No
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	T Yes	□No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Yes	No
d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	T Yes	No

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU? N/A	Yes	No No
{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the		
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
one or more affected EUs.}		
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	∐ Yes	L.No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	LNo
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the		
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's	□ ••	
instructions?	Yes	LNo
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
and		
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the	3	
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ?	Yes	No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU? <u>12/2010</u>		
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?	Xes	No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:		NO
	V.	
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?	\boxtimes Yes	L.No
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?	Yes Yes	No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	🖾No
a. Was the VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit.	Yes	\square No
		NO
Rate: b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	□No
		NO
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	Yes	No
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	🖾No
a. Was the VE test conducted by the <i>unspector</i> for this unit during this site visit.	Yes	\square No
Rate:	V	□ N-
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	∐ Yes	LNo
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.		—
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	Yes	LNo
VE Opacity Limits		
EU not gubication Subnort OOO EU	OOO FU	

	EU not subject to 40 CFR 60 Subpart OOO	Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008	Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%	12%
All other affected EUs	20%	10%	7%

Emissions Unit Section <u>2 –NMMP Plant-Screens subject to NSPS Subpart OOO</u>

		(check 🗹	only one
-		box for each	question)
1. 2. 3.	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processi {Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the major is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Grant Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlie; (16) Vernite (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.} Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	ng Plants? ity te, l Gravel; Salt; oride, , Kernite, culite; Xes ∑ Yes ∑ Yes ∑ Yes	No No No No
su If	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	Yes	🖾No
	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	No
	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	🛛 Yes	No
ð.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour) ?	Yes	No

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,			
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	\square	Yes	□No
	<i>{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or</i>		105	
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operate	d		
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material			
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processir			
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wette	ed		
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}			
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line			
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,			
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?		Yes	LNo
	<i>{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract</i>			
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic			
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface			
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material			
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by			
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}			
	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to			
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.			
IJ	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.			
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?			
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?		Yes	No
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20			
13	.Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,			
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		Yes	No
<i>If</i>	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19			
14	.Initial Tests:			
17	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of			
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	\square	Yes	🗌 No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	_	Yes	No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?		Yes	No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?		Yes	No
15				
12	If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits:			
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of			
1	initial startup of the EU? N/A		Yes	🗌 No
1	<i>A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the</i>		1 00	
1	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from			
1	one or more affected EUs.}			
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?		Yes	No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?		Yes	No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?		Yes	No

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturin as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	ng	
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,		
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	Yes	🗌 No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the		
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250 pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
<i>and</i> b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ?		No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes:		
a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?		
b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete		
corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?		
c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,		
recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	Yes	No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20 Desethe EU house a norticulate motion continue suctors (a minute in du dia suctors)		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	No
21. Initial Tests:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU? N/A	Yes	No No
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	Yes	\square No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	\square Yes	No
d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	\square Yes	\square No
······································		

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of	_	_
initial startup of the EU? N/A	Yes	∐ No
<i>A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the</i>		
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
one or more affected EUs.}		
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	Yes	No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	No
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	T Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the		
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		
instructions?	Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250	~	
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
and		
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and th	e	
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ?	Yes	No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU? <u>12/2010</u>		
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?	X Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:		—
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?	X Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?	🕅 Yes	No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	🖾No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	Yes	No
Rate:		
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	Yes	No
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	🖾No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	Yes	No
Rate:		
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	Yes	No
VE Opacity Limits		

VE Opacity Limits					
	EU not subject to 40 CFR 60 Subpart OOO	Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008	Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008		
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%	12%		
All other affected EUs	20%	10%	7%		

Emissions Unit Section <u>3 –NMMP Plant-Conveyors subject to NSPS subpart OOO</u>

		(check 🗹	only one
		box for each	question)
1. 2. 3.	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin {Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majori is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Grani Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.} Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	ng Plants? ty te, Gravel; Salt; vride, , Kernite, culite; Yes ⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No □No
4. If	Is the EU one of the following?	Yes Yes	No
If	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	Yes	No
	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	No
	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour) ?	Yes	No
	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour) ?	Yes	No

9. Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Xes	No
<i>{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or</i>		NO
which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operate	od.	
at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia		
with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi		
of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet		
solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.	eu	
solely by wel suppression systems is not considered to be subruled for purposes of this definition.		
10. Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		
grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	No
grinding him of storage on in the production me.		
<i>{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract</i>		
any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by		
wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
If answer to any of the six Questions 5 - 10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
If the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Tes Yes	No
If answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures,		
Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	T Yes	No
rioous, runs, dumpers, etc.) to explare and dumsport particulate matter to a condition de rice.		
If answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14. Initial Tests:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU? IN/A	Yes	🗌 No
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Yes	No
d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	No
15. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU? \square N/A	Yes	∐ No
$\{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the$		
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
one or more affected EUs.}	□ ••	
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	L.No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	Yes	L.No
d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	LNo

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturin as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	ng	
17. If the EU is an individual analoged stanges his controlled by a backauge		
17.If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	Yes	🗌 No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
 a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	No
 and b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% 		No
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		
19.Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes:		
a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?		
b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete		
corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?		
c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,		
recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	Yes	DNo
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures,		
Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	No
21. Initial Tests:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU? N/A	Yes	□ No
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	Yes	\square No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Tes Yes	\square No
d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	\square No
J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J		

22. If the EU is a building enclosing an		and all enclosed EUs are not		
individually in compliance with em a. Was an initial PM stack test perfor initial startup of the EU? {A "vent" is any opening through wh purpose of exhausting from a building	rmed on each vent contro 	v induced air flow for the	/A Ses	🗌 No
one or more affected EUs.) b. Was the EU found to be in compli c. Were initial fugitive emissions fro				□No □No
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control en	nissions from the EU?		Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maint a. a device for the continuous measur scrubber and the device has bee instructions?	ain and operate: rement of the pressure lo n calibrated on an annua 	ass of the gas stream through the lass in accordance with man	e ufacturer's Yes	No
b. a device for the continuous measu device has been calibrated on an {Note: The monitoring device r of design scrubbing liquid flow	n annual basis in accorda nust be certified by the n	nce with manufacturer's instruc	ctions ? 🗌 Yes	No
24. When was the last VE test conductora. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart	subpart OOO, has the EU		years? 🛛 Yes	No
i. has the EU been tested during ii. has the EU been tested yet w	g each of the past 4 calen			□No □No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>ow</i> a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro- Rate:				⊠No □No
b. Was the $\overline{\text{VE test}}$ conducted accord			Yes	No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacityd. Did the VE test demonstrate comp			Yes	No
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>ins</i> a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro- Rate:				⊠No □No
b. Was the VE test conducted accord			Yes	DNo
c. The VE test resulted in an opacityd. Did the VE test demonstrate comp			Yes	No
	VE Opaci	ty Limits		
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	Subpart OOO EU	1

VE Opacity Limits					
40 CFR 60constructed, modified, or reconstructed priorconstructed, m or reconstruct		Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008			
20%	15%	12%			
20%	10%	7%			
	EU not subject to 40 CFR 60 Subpart OOO 20%	EU not subject to 40 CFR 60Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/200820%15%			

Emissions Unit Section
4-NMMP Plant-Seven Storage Bins subject to NSPS subpart OOO

Is	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin {Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majori is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Grani Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	ty Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
2. 3.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No □No
su If	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or		
6. 7.	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I? Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)? Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour) ?	☐ Yes☐ Yes⊠ Yes☐ Yes	□No □No □No □No

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,			
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	\square	Yes	□No
	<i>{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or</i>			
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operated	d		
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material			
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processin	g		
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wette	ed		
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}			
10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line			
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?		Yes	
			res	L.No
	<i>Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract</i>			
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic			
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface			
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material			
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by			
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}			
	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to			
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.			
IJ	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.			
11	. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?			
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?		Yes	No
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20			
IJ	unswer to Question 12 is 140° skip ine jouowing questions and go uncerty to Question 20			
13	.Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,			
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		Yes	No
If	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19			
IJ	answer to guestion 15 to 110° stup ine jono/ring questions and go an eeup to guestion 17			
14	.Initial Tests:			
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of			_
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	_	Yes	∐ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	_	Yes	L.No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	=	Yes	L.No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?		Yes	No
15	.If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not			
10	individually in compliance with emissions limits:			
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of			
	initial startup of the EU? N/A		Yes	🗌 No
	$\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the }$			
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from			
	one or more affected EUs.}	_		_
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?		Yes	No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	_	Yes	No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	\Box	Yes	No

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator:		
\Box uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d);		
follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturin	ig	
as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or		
none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,		
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	T Yes	No No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	T Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the		
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's	— • •	
instructions?	∐ Yes	No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
and		
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the	•	
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ?		No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate. }		
of design scrubbing inquid now rate. }		
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	□No
		NO
If yes:		
a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to		
the discharge spray nozzles?		
b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete		
corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?		
c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,		
recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes	□No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following		
questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures,	—	—
Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	LNo
21. Initial Tests:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU? N/A	Yes	No No
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	☐ Yes	No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	\square Yes	No
d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	\square Yes	\square No
u. If yes, was the opacity less than of equal to 770 opacity?		

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU? N/A	Yes	□ No
{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the		
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
one or more affected EUs.}	_	_
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	∐ Yes	L.No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	No
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the		
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		
instructions?	Yes	No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
and		
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the	_	
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ?	Yes	No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU? <u>12/2010</u>		
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?	Xes Yes	No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:		
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?	Xes	□No
	_	_
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?	🛛 Yes	No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	🖂No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	Yes	\square No
		NO
Rate:	—	
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	LNo
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	Yes	No
	—	
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	⊠No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	Yes	LNo
Rate:		
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.		_
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	Yes	□No
a. Did die vie test demonstrate compnance with the opacity limit: (See chart below).		

<u>R</u>]	EASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1.	 Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)? N/A If no, where are unconfined emissions occurring? 	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
	 b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? N/A e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of 	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□ No □ No □ No
2.	particulate matter from stock piles? N/A If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	⊠ Yes □ Yes □ Yes	□ No □ No □No

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY (check \square only one box for each question) 1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? ----- Yes ...No b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? ------ 🗍 Yes ...No c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? ------ TYes ...No 2. Does this facility include: a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? ------ Yes X..No If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? b) any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? ----- X Yes ...No If YES, what other general permit units or activities? PORTABLE CRUSHER

3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:	
	a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? Yes	No
	b) 23,000 gallons of gasoline? Yes	No
	c) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? Yes	No
	d) 1.3 million gallons of propane? Yes	No
	e) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? Yes	No
() and discolver $($ $) and conclusion () MM SCE not conv(m + ()) MM colvernon (()) MM$	
Ĺ) gal diesel/yr + () gal gasoline/yr + () MM SCF nat. gas/yr + () MM gal propane/yr ≤ 1.00 ?	
27	75,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propane/yr	
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumption	
	for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	No

6	GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹	only one
1	. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or	box for each	question)
	Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air	—	
2	pollution control devices?	Yes	🖾No
2	a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	- 🛛 Yes	No
	b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	Yes	
3	terms and conditions of the air general permit?		LNo
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	- 🛛 Yes	No

	ELOCATABLE PLANT The facility: I is stationary; I is relocatable; or I consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (<i>If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.</i>)	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
2.	 For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation? 	6)]	□No □No
3.	If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operate permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is <u>not</u> included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?		□No
	 b) were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in any consecutive 12-month period? 	Yes Yes	□No □No

	HANGES dministrative Changes:	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1.	Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represent associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions up operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?	nits <u>or</u>	XNo
2.	If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	Yes	□No
Ne	ew or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:		
3.	Since the last registration form submittal has there been		
	a) Installation of any new process equipment?		🖾No
	b) Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	🗌 Yes	🖾No
	c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?	🗌 Yes	🖾No
	d) A change in ownership?		🖾No
4.	If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee su	bmitted	
	30 days prior to the change?		No

FRANK DELGADO

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

7/27/2011

Date of Inspection

7/2012

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: THE TWO PLANTS WERE OPERATIONAL. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY FUGITIVE EMISSIONS AROUND THE FACILITY. THE FACILITY OPERATES FROM MONDAYS TO THURSDAYS/ ONE SHIFT.THE PORTABLE CRUSHER IS OPERATIONAL.